

## The Influence of *trans*-Ligands on the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> Bond Length in Mixed Cobalt(III) Complexes

By RAJNA HERAK

(Laboratory of Solid State Physics and Radiation Chemistry, 'Boris Kidrič' Institute, 11001 Belgrade, P O Box 522, Yugoslavia)

and NENAD JURANIĆ\* and MILENKO B. ČELAP

(Institute of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Belgrade, 11001 Belgrade, P O Box 550, Yugoslavia)

**Summary** An X-ray crystal structure investigation of 12 cobalt(III) complexes has shown that the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond length is shorter by an average of 0.05 Å when *trans*-to carboxylato-oxygen than when *trans*- to a nitrogen ligator

FROM X-ray crystal structure investigations reported for twelve cobalt(III) complexes (see the Table), which, besides other ligands, contain NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group(s), we have observed the influence of *trans*-ligands on the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond length. In 6 compounds, containing amino acid carboxylato-oxygen *trans* to the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group, the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond length is shorter by an average of 0.05 Å than the same bond when *trans* to ammonia, the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group, or the amino nitrogen of aminocarboxylato and diamine ligands (Table). This influence is not noticeable in other Co-ligand bonds, *i.e.* Co-NH<sub>3</sub>, Co-NH<sub>2</sub> (amino acid), Co-NH<sub>2</sub> (diamine), and Co-O (amino acid). In this way the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond length appears to be especially sensitive to a carboxylato-oxygen in a *trans*-position.

The nature of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand bonding in octahedral complexes is not completely understood and seems to differ considerably from that in square-planar complexes. In

the square-planar complexes the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand position in the spectrochemical series,<sup>1</sup> as well as its pronounced *trans*-effect,<sup>2-4</sup> indicate that a considerable electron density back-donation from the metal d<sub>π</sub>-orbital to a vacant π-antibonding orbital of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group occurs.<sup>5</sup> In contrast with this, in octahedral complexes the *trans*-effect of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group is weakly pronounced<sup>3</sup> and this has been explained in terms of a difficulty in π-bond formation due to steric effects. However, the biphilicity of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group observed during octahedral substitution reactions<sup>6</sup> shows that π-bond participation in the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group-metal bonding also occurs in octahedral complexes. Therefore, the observed influence of carboxylato-oxygen, in a *trans*-position, on the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond strength might be significant when considering the importance of the π-bonding of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group in octahedral complexes. The observed *trans*-influence can be explained well by π-bonding participation in Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond formation. The carboxylato-oxygen, as a π-donor, allows the d<sub>π</sub>-orbitals to become antibonding to some extent, leading to the destabilization of the complex. In that case, the formation of the π-bond between the metal and the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand in a *trans*-position to the

TABLE. The dependence of the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond length (Å) in the investigated complex on the ligand in a *trans*-position and the corresponding angles (°) of the mean plane of the NO<sub>2</sub> group to the principal axes of the complex.

Complex	Co-NO <sub>2</sub> bond length (Å) <i>trans</i> - to				Angle (°)	Reference
	O	NO <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>		
<i>trans,trans,trans</i> -K[Co(β-ala) <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>a</sup>		1.940(10)			90.4	8
(-) <sub>589</sub> - <i>trans</i> -(NO <sub>2</sub> ,NH <sub>2</sub> )- <i>trans</i> -N-[Co(L-his) <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> ].H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>b</sup>			1.954(12)		16.5	9
<i>trans</i> -[Co(tn) <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>		1.926(6)			91.4	10
(+) <sub>589</sub> - <i>trans</i> -(NO <sub>2</sub> ,NH <sub>2</sub> )-Ba[Co(glygly)- (D-ala)NO <sub>2</sub> ].Cl.4H <sub>2</sub> O			1.936		34.8	11
<i>trans</i> -[Co(tn)(β-ala)(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]		1.912(5)			88.0	12
		1.980(6)			85.5	
<i>cis</i> -(NO <sub>2</sub> )- <i>mer</i> -(NH <sub>2</sub> )-[Co(tn)(β-ala)(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ].H <sub>2</sub> O	1.892(4)		1.937(4)		35.1	13
<i>cis</i> -(NO <sub>2</sub> ,NH <sub>2</sub> )- <i>trans</i> -(NH <sub>2</sub> )-[CoNH <sub>3</sub> (gly) <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> ].H <sub>2</sub> O	1.875(7)				35.5	14
(+) <sub>589</sub> - <i>cis</i> -(NO <sub>2</sub> )- <i>trans</i> -(NH <sub>3</sub> ,NH <sub>2</sub> )- [Co(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (L-ala)(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]	1.894(2)			1.942(3)	40.1	15
<i>mer-trans</i> -(NH <sub>3</sub> ,NH <sub>2</sub> )-K[Co(NH <sub>3</sub> )(gly)(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ].H <sub>2</sub> O	1.891(7)	1.920(7)			3.6	16
		1.929(7)				
(+) <sub>589</sub> - <i>cis</i> -Ag[Co(D-ala) <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>a</sup>	1.87(2)				32.1	17
<i>cis</i> -[Co(tn) <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ].Cl.H <sub>2</sub> O			1.924(3)		34.5	18
			1.936(3)		35.3	
(+) <sub>589</sub> - <i>cis</i> -[Co(L-arg) <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]NO <sub>3</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	1.894(7)				35.4	19
	1.941(7)				32.4	

<sup>a</sup> The complex ion has an element of symmetry ( $\bar{1}$  or 2). <sup>b</sup> N is the nitrogen atom belonging to the imidazole ring. alaH = alanine; hisH = histidine; tn = 1,3-diaminopropane; glyglyH<sub>2</sub> = glycylglycine; glyH = glycine; argH = arginine.

carboxylato-oxygen is favoured, since the electron density shifts through the d<sub>π</sub>-orbitals to the vacant π-antibonding orbital of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand. Therefore, the participation of the π-bond could be expected to be more pronounced and the Co-NO<sub>2</sub> bond length to be shorter when the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand is *trans* to a carboxylato-oxygen than when it is *trans* to a σ-bonded nitrogen. A corresponding bond length shortening has actually been observed, indicating π-bond participation in NO<sub>2</sub> bonding in Co<sup>III</sup> complexes. However, in all the compounds the mean plane of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group when *trans* to the carboxylato-oxygen (and also in many other cases) is inclined to the principal axis of the complex at an angle of 30–40° (Table). Thus the possibility of π-bond formation with d<sub>π</sub>-orbitals is reduced, though

not excluded.<sup>7</sup> The shifting of the electron density from carboxylato-oxygen through the metal d<sub>π</sub>-orbitals to the vacant π-antibonding orbital of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand should have been reflected also in lengthening of the N-O bonds. There is no detectable evidence for this from the X-ray crystallographic data, however.

On the basis of the above, there is no straightforward conclusion as to the character of the M-NO<sub>2</sub> bond in octahedral complexes. The observed NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ligand characteristics are most likely determined by a competition between the tendency for π-bond formation and the steric influences of the *cis*-ligand.

(Received, 10th March 1980; Com. 262.)

- 1 J. L. Burmeister, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1966, **1**, 205.
- 2 A. A. Grinberg, 'Vvedenie v khimiyu kompleksnih soedinenii,' Izdatelstvo 'Khimiya,' 1971, pp. 360–362.
- 3 F. Basolo and R. G. Pearson, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1962, **4**, 381.
- 4 R. Hartley, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1973, **2**, 163.
- 5 H. B. Gray, 'Electrons and Chemical Bonding,' W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1965, pp. 151, 198–191.
- 6 B. Bosnich, *Nature (London)*, 1962, **196**, 1196.
- 7 J. B. Bersuker, 'Stroyenie i svoystva koordinatsionnih soedinenii,' Izdatelstvo 'Khimiya,' 1971, p. 210.
- 8 B. Prelesnik, M. B. Čelap, and R. Herak, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **7**, 569.
- 9 R. M. Herak, B. Prelesnik, B. Kamberi, and M. B. Čelap, XI International Congress of Crystallography, Warszawa 1978, Coll. Abstr., p. 5399.
- 10 I. Krstanović and M. B. Čelap, *Crystallog. Struct. Commun.*, 1977, **6**, 171.
- 11 R. Herak, Lj. Solujić, I. Krstanović, B. Prelesnik, and M. B. Čelap, *Proceedings of the Yugoslav Centre of Crystallography*, 1978, **13**, in the press.
- 12 R. M. Herak, M. B. Čelap, and I. Krstanović, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1977, **33**, 3368.
- 13 R. Herak, M. Jeremić, and M. B. Čelap, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 1975, **31**, 5142.
- 14 P. Vasić, R. Herak, and S. Djurić, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1976, **32**, 91.
- 15 R. M. Herak, K. W. Muir, and Lj. Manojlović-Muir, *Proceedings of the Yugoslav Centre of Crystallography*, 1974, **9**, 76; *Acta Crystallogr.*, in the press.
- 16 R. Herak and B. Prelesnik, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1976, **427**, 91.
- 17 R. Herak, B. Prelesnik, Lj. Manojlović-Muir, and K. W. Muir, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1974, **30**, 229.
- 18 G. Srdanov, R. Herak, and B. Prelesnik, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1979, **33**, 23.
- 19 W. H. Watson, D. R. Johnson, M. B. Čelap, and B. Kamberi, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1972, **6**, 591.